

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/049,363	07/16/2002	Karl Frauhammer	10191/2234 9974		
26646	7590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			SAETHER, F	SAETHER, FLEMMING	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>~</i> `				
Advisory Action	10/049,363	FRAUHAMMER ET	AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Flemming Saether	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applied in a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the distallutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does N	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> is a)	⊠ approved or b)⊡ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Flemming Saether Primary Examiner	Z er				
		Art Unit: 3677					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 20040615

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains the 103 rejections as proper and properly motivated moreover, in reviewing applicant's remarks it was recognized that Hay may in fact be anticipatory under section 102.